

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/645,645	08/24/2000	Brian R. Woods	17887-004900US	3346
20350	7590 04/09/2003			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			BARQADLE, YASIN M	
SAN FRANCI	ISCO, CA 94111-3834	3834	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	11
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	Ч

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)			
	09/645,645	WOODS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yasin M Barqadle	2153			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
, —	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rs, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		olication No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international applicat ion by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cotton US(6330590).

3. As per claim 1, Cotton teaches a method for automatically processing electronic mail, comprising:

loading an electronic mail message [Col. 2, lines 18-27];
removing non-textual information from the electronic mail
message [note: eliminating personalization and addressing portion
Col. 2, lines 18-27];

locating a first portion from the electronic mail message [Col. 3, lines 46-67 and Col. 4, lines 1-12];

generating a first code smaller than the first portion and indicative of the first portion [Col. 3, lines 46-67 and Col. 4, lines 1-12];

locating a second portion from the electronic mail message [each successive message is identified with individual message signature Col. 3, lines 46-67 and Col. 4, lines 1-12];

generating a second code smaller than the second portion and indicative of the second portion [the signature is coded in abbreviated format Col. 3, lines 46-67 and Col. 4, lines 1-12]; and storing the first code and the second code [abstract and Col. 2, lines 39-47].

4. As per claim 2, Cotton teaches the method for automatically processing electronic mail of claim 1, wherein the storing the first code and the second code comprises storing the first code and second code to semiconductor memory [Col. 2, lines 39-47].

5. As per claim 3, Cotton teaches method for automatically processing electronic mail of claim 1, wherein the locating the first portion uses a different algorithm than the locating a second portion [Col. 5, lines 3-24].

6. As per claim 4, Cotton teaches method for automatically processing electronic mail of claim 1, further comprising:

locating a second through nth portions [Col. 3, lines 47-58]; and

interrupting the locating the second through nth portions when a total number of portions reaches a predetermined count [Col. 3, lines 47-58].

- 7. As per claim 5, Cotton teaches method for automatically processing electronic mail of claim 1, wherein the non-textual information includes at last one of header information, a Subject line, an Internet protocol (IP) address, routing information, hypertext markup language information, and an embedded applet [Col. 3, lines 47-67].
- 8. As per claim 6, Cotton teaches method for automatically processing electronic mail of claim 1, further comprising removing everything from the electronic mail message except a message body [Col. 3, lines 59-67].

9. As per claim 7, Cotton teaches method for automatically processing electronic mail of claim 1, further comprising arranging the first code and the second code according to numerical value [Col. 3, lines 47-67 and Col. 4, lines 1-30].

10. As per claim 8 and 15, Cotton teaches method for automatically processing electronic mail, comprising:

loading an electronic mail message [Col. 2, lines 18-27]; selecting a plurality of portions from the electronic mail message interrupting the selecting the plurality of portions when the plurality of portions reaches a predetermined count [Col. 3, lines 47-67];

generating a plurality of codes from the plurality of portions, wherein the number of codes and the number of portions are equal to each other [Col. 3, lines 47-67 and Col. 4, lines 1-30; and

storing the plurality of codes [abstract and Col.2, lines 39-47].

- 11. As per claims 9 and 16, Cotton teaches the method for automatically processing electronic mail further comprising removing non-textual information from the electronic mail message [Col. 3, lines 59-67].
- 12. As per claims 10 and 17, Cotton teaches the method for automatically processing electronic mail, wherein the non-textual

information includes at least one of header information, a subject line, an internet protocol (IP) address, routing information, hyper-text markup language information, and all embedded applet [Col. 3, lines 47-67].

- 13. As per claims 11 and 18, Cotton teaches the method for automatically processing electronic mail further comprising interrupting the selecting the third number of portions from the electronic mail message if the third number reaches a predetermined count [Col. 3, lines 47-58].
- 14. As per claims 12 and 19, Cotton teaches the method for automatically processing electronic mail further comprising arranging the fourth number of codes according to a numerical value [Col. 3, lines 47-67 and Col. 4, lines 1-30].
- 15. As per claims 13 and 20, Cotton teaches the method for automatically processing electronic mail wherein each code is smaller its respective portion [Col. 3, lines 59-67 and Col. 4, lines 1-12].
- 16. As per claims 14 and 21, Cotton teaches the method for automatically processing electronic mail wherein generating the plurality of codes includes processing the plurality of portions with an algorithm selected from the group consisting of a

checksum, a cyclic redundancy check, and a hash [Col. 2, lines 28-38].

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yasin M Barqadle whose telephone number is 703-305-5971. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on 703-305-9717. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-304-3900.

Yasin Barqadle

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100